



TEACHERS' EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE SECOND PROJECT MEETING IN CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA 23-27.03.2015

1. The objectives of the Meeting were clear




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 20 | 95.2% |
| Mostly | 1 | 4.8% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

2. The issues on the Agenda were consistent with the Meeting objectives




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 17 | 81% |
| Mostly | 4 | 19% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

3. The Meeting was useful for helping our organisation to carry out the expected project activities




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 20 | 95.2% |
| Mostly | 1 | 4.8% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

4. The materials produced before and during the Meeting are clear and useful to develop the expected project activities




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 18 | 85.7% |
| Mostly | 3 | 14.3% |
| Not Very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

5. All the partners contributed to the success of the Meeting




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 17 | 81% |
| Mostly | 4 | 19% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

6. The opinions of all the partners were taken into consideration in an equal and unbiased manner




| | | |
|----------|-----------|------|
| Yes | 21 | 100% |
| Mostly | 0 | 0% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

7. The Meeting was useful for establishing good working relationships among the partners




| | | |
|----------|-----------|------|
| Yes | 21 | 100% |
| Mostly | 0 | 0% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

8. The Meeting met my expectations




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 18 | 85.7% |
| Mostly | 3 | 14.3% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

9. The Agenda was circulated to the partnership prior to the Meeting in adequate advance




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 19 | 90.5% |
| Mostly | 2 | 9.5% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

10. Sufficient time was allocated to each issue on the Agenda




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 16 | 76.2% |
| Mostly | 5 | 23.8% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

11. The host partner significantly contributed to install a collaborative working environment




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 20 | 95.2% |
| Mostly | 1 | 4.8% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

12. The Project Coordinators significantly contributed to achieve the Meeting objectives




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 17 | 81% |
| Mostly | 3 | 14.3% |
| Not very | 1 | 4.8% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

13. The hotel accommodation was satisfactory (room, food, etc.)




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 20 | 95.2% |
| Mostly | 0 | 0% |
| Not very | 1 | 4.8% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

14. Was there a social programme with a cultural visit?




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 20 | 95.2% |
| Mostly | 0 | 0% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 1 | 4.8% |

15. Are you satisfied with the preparation and organisation of the meeting?




| | | |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 16 | 76.2% |
| Mostly | 5 | 23.8% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

16. After the Meeting, to which extent are work plan and deadlines clear to you?




| | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| Yes, very clear | 15 | 71.4% |
| Mostly | 5 | 23.8% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

17. After the Meeting, to which extent is each partner's role and responsibility within the next project activities clear to you?




| | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| Yes, very clear | 17 | 81% |
| Mostly | 3 | 14.3% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

18. After the Meeting, to which extent are the decisions taken clear to you?



| | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| Yes, very clear | 18 | 85.7% |
| Mostly | 2 | 9.5% |
| Not very | 0 | 0% |
| No | 0 | 0% |

19. In European projects the language is often a problem. What do you think? What percentage of the content was understood during the entire meeting?



20. Is there any suggestion you would like to give to help the smooth and successful development of the project activities?

No, everything was great. Thank you for all.
 Thank you! I am satisfied with everything.
 All partners should be prepared for the meetings and know their responsibilities. All tasks should be done according to the timetable before the deadlines. The common agreements are in the Minutes so we should check and do our tasks.
 I suggest organising folklore games while doing the sightseeing.
 Answering the emails on time and doing the project activities on time.
 Everything was great, I would have liked to continue more... by the time the kids got to know each other the guests had to leave...
 I haven't got any suggestions as all partners are engaged in the project and cooperation gives good results.
 We almost didn't have any free time because the programme was very busy.

Your country

Romania, Latvia, Croatia, Turkey, Poland, Bulgaria, Lithuania